### Attachment 1

# Rating of the included study: PRKI et al. (2020)

7 of 10 possible stars

Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, Tugwell P. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality if nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses, 2012. Available from: http://wwwohrica/programs/clinical\_epidemiology/oxfordasp.

### **COHORT STUDIES**

<u>Note</u>: A study can be awarded a maximum of one star for each numbered item within the Selection and Outcome categories. A maximum of two stars can be given for Comparability

## Selection

1) Renre	esentativeness of the exposed cohort	
-	•	
a) tri	uly representative of the average	_ (describe) in the community
b) so	mewhat representative of the average	in the community *区
c) se	lected group of users eg nurses, volunteers	
d) no	description of the derivation of the cohort	
2) <u>Selec</u>	tion of the non exposed cohort	
a) dr	awn from the same community as the exposed coh	ort <b>₩</b> 区
b) dr	awn from a different source	
c) no	description of the derivation of the non exposed c	ohort
3) <u>Ascertainment of exposure</u>		
a) se	cure record (eg surgical records) *区	
b) st	ructured interview *	
c) wr	itten self report	
d) no	description	
4) <u>Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study</u>		
a) ye	S	
b) no	X	

# Comparability (no adjustment)

1) Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis

a) study controls for (select the most important factor)
b) study controls for any additional factor (This criteria could be modified to indicate specific control for a second important factor.)
Outcome
1) <u>Assessment of outcome</u>
a) independent blind assessment
b) record linkage *区
c) self report
d) no description
2) Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur
a) yes (select an adequate follow up period for outcome of interest) *区
b) no
3) Adequacy of follow up of cohorts
a) complete follow up - all subjects accounted for *区
b) subjects lost to follow up unlikely to introduce bias - small number lost - > $\_\_\_$ % (select an adequate %) follow up, or description provided of those lost)
c) follow up rate <% (select an adequate %) and no description of those lost
d) no statement